

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/783,667	02/20/2004	David M. Shilliday	53982/297547 7279	
759	90 11/16/2006		EXAM	INER
John S. Pratt, Esq.			WILHELM, TIMOTHY	
KILPATRICK STOCKTON LLP Suite 2800			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1100 Peachtree Street Atlanta, GA 30309-4530			3616	
			DATE MAILED: 11/16/2006	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/783,667	SHILLIDAY ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Timothy D. Wilhelm	3616	
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period where the provision of the provis	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONET	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status		•	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 Au This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro		
Disposition of Claims			
4) Claim(s) 1-6 and 12 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-6 and 12 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on <u>02 August 2004</u> is/are: Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 10.	a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected t drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	•		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive I (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No d in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 8/02/05 & 8/15/06.	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te	

Application/Control Number: 10/783,667

Art Unit: 3616

DETAILED ACTION

Page 2

1. Examiner acknowledges Applicant's remarks filed on 8/15/2006 with regard to rejections made in Examiner's first office action. With regard to claims 1 and 12, Examiner acknowledges that the Smith reference (US 6,648,368) does not meet the limitation set out in claims 1 and 12 "in which inflation of the second portion commences before inflation of the first portion." Examiner respectfully withdraws these prior rejections and applies a new set of rejections as described below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 3. Claims 1-5 and 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Welch et al (6,276,712). Welch et al disclose a protective device for an occupant of a vehicle comprising an inflator 8, an inflatable cushion 2 having first 3 and second portions 9, the first portion 3 being above the second portion 9 when the vehicle is upright, and in which inflation of the second portion 9 commences before inflation of the first portion 3. The second portion 9 comprises a material that decreases in length and is distributed substantially uniformly and continuously throughout the second portion 9 when inflated so as to create tension. The first portion 3 comprises a node adapted, when inflated, to be positioned at approximately head and torso level of the occupant

portion 9 commences before inflation of the first portion 3.

and comprises uninflated material 13 adjacent the at least one inflatable node 3. With regard to the order of inflation, the inflator 8 is directly coupled to the second portion 9 of the inflatable cushion 2 and thus gas from the inflator must inherently pass through the second portion 9 before entering the first portion 3. As such, inflation of the second

Page 3

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

4. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Welch et al in view of Bark et al (5,322,322). Welch et al disclose the invention except for an inflator capable of moving as the inflatable curtain inflates. Bark et al teach a side impact protection system comprising an inflator 22 that moves when an air bag 11 is inflated. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art the time of the invention to apply the teaching of Bark et al of a moveable inflator to the side protection system of Welch et al to allow the protective device to be more compact during non-use.

Conclusion

5. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Timothy D. Wilhelm whose telephone number is 571-

Application/Control Number: 10/783,667

Art Unit: 3616

272-6980. The examiner can normally be reached on 9:00 AM to 5:30 PM Monday

through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Paul Dickson can be reached on 571-272-6669. The fax phone number for

the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

TDW

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

Page 4

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3600